



8

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/826,021	04/21/01	ZHENG et al.	6845-28(147690)

EXAMINER	
L. CIRIC	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3743	8

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ljiljana V. CIRIC
(2) Robert E. Cannuscio, Reg No. 36,489
(3)
(4)

Date of Interview Mar 4, 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: ATTORNEY CANNUSCIO SHOWED A WORKING MODEL OF THE INVENTIVE TEST CELL OF THE COLD CRANKING SIMULATOR.

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24

Identification of prior art discussed: 1MIG ET AL. (US #4,346,754) and Petersen (US 4,502,531) PATENTS, BOTH OF RECORD.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ATTORNEY CANNUSCIO EXPLAINED HOW THE INVENTION WORKS, AND INDICATED THAT

APPLICANTS ADDED SPLITTER FOR ALLOWING COUNTERFLOW (AND MORE EVEN TEMP. DISTRIBUTION) AS IMPROVEMENT OVER PRIOR ART. EXAMINER CIRIC NOTED THAT PROPOSED DRAWING CORRECTION IS ACCEPTABLE, AMENDED CLAIMS APPEAR TO HAVE OVERCOME

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 112, 2ND, AND AT LEAST CLAIM 1 AND 9 HAVE OVERCOME THE PREVIOUSLY MADE PRIOR ART

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

REJECTIONS BASED ON ABOVE MENTIONED REFERENCE

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Ljiljana Ciric

LJILJANA CIRIC
PATENT EXAMINER